# **Coastal Communities** Opportunities, Complexities & Challenges

Grand Vision Growth & Investment December 10, 2012

Mark Breederland Michigan Sea Grant Extension



Liz Durfee NOAA Coastal Management Fellow

## Agenda

- Coastal Communities + waterfront challenges
  - Federally Authorized Harbors + Consequences of not Maintaining Harbors
  - Climate and Coastal Impacts + Secondary Effects
  - Lake Levels, Coastal Impacts + Management Implications
  - Lake Levels + Coastal Impacts
- Working Waterfronts, Challenges, Tools, National initiative + BMPs from Michigan
  - Smart Growth
- Summary

## Coastal Communities + Waterfronts

- Create a sense of place
- Provide jobs
- Key to tourism
- Contribute to local and regional economy
- Have cultural + historic value
- Provide public access to public trust waters



## Challenges to a Sustainable Waterfront

- Maintaining harbors and infrastructure
- Future climate impacts
- Low lake levels
- Balancing competing land uses, taxable and non-taxable land
- Maintaining the viability of water dependent uses
- Adapting to changes in population
- Brownfields / contamination from former waterfront uses
- Lack of waterfront planning
- Lack of connection between downtown + the waterfront
- Loss of a major business or industry
- Decline in shipping, cruise ships, fisheries



## Northwest MI Coastal Communities

- 10 counties
- O 7 coastal counties
- 51 coastal communities
- ▲ 15 Ports
- 9 federally authorized harbors



## Federally Authorized Harbor Maintenance

- Rivers and Harbors Act late 1800s / 1913 etc.
- Maintained by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Consist of channels for navigation and structures like breakwaters and piers (built 1860-1940 often on timber cribs – deteriorating with low levels)
- Require dredging on varying cycles
- Federal funding for maintenance prioritized based on the benefits of the harbor related to commercial navigation, recreational harbors are low priority
- Recreation has become the major industry at many Great Lakes harbors, less than half over federally authorized harbors on the Great Lakes support commercial navigation
- Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, tax revenue from shipping, not fed back into harbor maintenance
- Aging infrastructure: 80% of Great Lakes harbors are older than the typical 50-year design life



## Consequences of Not Maintaining NW MI Harbors

 $Charlevoix \cdot Manistee \cdot Frankfort \cdot Leland \cdot Petoskey \cdot Grellickville \cdot Portage \ Lake \cdot \ St. \ James \cdot Arcadia$ 

- Loss of local and regional jobs
- Safety issues associated with loss of harbor of refuge
- Potential loss of consideration of port as a potential site for future industrial facilities
- Light loading and increased costs of shipping
- Loss of recreational slips
- Loss of recreational and charter fishing
- Loss of ability to use port for shipping bulk commodities





## Climate + Coastal Impacts

- Water level + temperature
- Ice cover
- Precipitation
- Increase in storm frequency and intensity
- Erosion and threats to beach nourishment





Lake Superior Ice Cover

Port Huron, Hurricane Sandy











#### **MBER 2012**



| Minimum for period 1918-2011



## % of Average Precipitation

- Superior
  - Aug. 2012 = 56%
  - Sept. 2012 = 46%
  - Oct. 2012 = 128%
  - Nov. 2012 = 67%

- Michigan-Huron
  - Aug. 2012 = 93%
  - Sept. 2012 = 74%
  - Oct. 2012 = 148%
  - Nov. 2012 = 32%





Chart datum = 243.3 ft

Jun

Jul

Aug

Sep

Oct

Nov

Dec

May

Apr

Detroit District http://www.lre.usace.army.mil

0.00

Jan

Feb

Mar

12-6-12



MICHIGAN SEA GRANT

## Secondary Effects of Climate Change

- Drainage of entire system/watershed, increased load and potential blockage in culverts and pipes
- Frequent storm events could impact port operations, commodity handling, energy and maintenance costs, vessel maneuverability, loading, ice-in and ice-out times, and create navigation and safety issues
- Potential increase in microbial induced corrosion, algal blooms, habitat change, species migration, distribution of aquatic invasive species associated with warmer water
- Increased deterioration of exposed wood infrastructure in harbors

## Lake Levels + Coastal Impacts

#### Potential impacts of low lake levels include:

- Reduced access to marinas, harbors and shipping channels
- Stranded docks and boat ramps
- Exposure of boats to navigation hazards like shoals
- Changes to pumping efficiency of water intake pipes
- Increased coastal vegetation, including invasive species, along exposed bottomlands
- Reduced cargo capacity
- Reduced vessel speed and maneuverability

#### Potential impacts of high lake levels include:

- Potential impacts of high lake levels:
- Shoreline erosion
- Flooded docks, boat ramps, marinas, houses and other coastal infrastructure
- Flooding on shorelines and along rivers that empty into the Great Lakes

## Lake Levels + Management Implications

- Future water levels will vary, shoreline infrastructure and communities need to be resilient to both high and low water levels
- Commercial and maritime harbor structures typically use more robust design standards than private water dependent businesses and community access structures, non-commercial structures will be impacted by smaller ranges of climatic change
- High water mark, regulations for building docks, etc

#### Examples of management strategies:

- Floating docks
- Softshore engineering
- Shoreline setbacks that incorporate potential lake-level rise
- Drought contingency plans
- Strategies for navigation + dredging under low water conditions

## National Working Waterfront Network

- A nationwide network- comprised of multiple sectors, orgs, agencies and Sea Grant programs- with a mission to increase the capacity of coastal communities and stakeholders to make informed decisions, balance diverse uses, ensure access, and plan for the future of their working waterfronts and waterways.
- A website will serve as a one stop shop for WWF issues + info (and will feature a case study on Fishtown in Leland, MI)
- Website will go live March 2013 at the National Working Waterfront + Waterways Symposium

http://depts.washington.edu/uwcon f/workingwaterfronts/index.html



### **Protecting Working Waterfronts**



## **Examples from Michigan**

- Collaboration in the Tri-Community area of Saugatuck, Douglas, and Saugatuck Township, preparation of harbor studies and harbor master plans, establishment of a harbor authority that enables a TIF district to generate revenue to maintain the harbor
- Permitting water dependent uses in most zoning districts, enhancing the connection between downtown and the waterfront and ensuring the waterfront viewshed is maintained in Charlevoix
- Regional port collaborate in Northeast Michigan to plan for the future of 3 ports, identify opportunities to attract new businesses
- Establishing specific working waterfront zoning districts, Smart Growth planning, transitioning from an industrial to a well connected, walkable downtown recreational waterfront in Marquette
- Private acquisition and donation of prime, formerly industrial waterfront land and creation of public open space dedicated to waterfront uses in Port Huron
- Establishment of a nonprofit to acquire historic, culturally and economically significant Fishtown, historic structures inventory and master plan, and creation of new zoning

### Tool: Smart Growth + Waterfront Smart Growth Workshop

- 1. Mix land uses, including water-dependent uses
- 2. Take advantage of compact community design that enhances, preserves, and provides access to waterfront resources
- 3. Provide a range of housing opportunities and choices to meet the needs of both seasonal and permanent residents
- 4. Create walkable communities with physical and visual access to and along the waterfront for public use
- 5. Foster distinctive, attractive communities with a strong sense of place that capitalizes on the waterfront's heritage
- 6. Preserve open space, farmland, natural beauty, and the critical environmental areas that characterize and support coastal and waterfront communities
- 7. Strengthen and direct development toward existing communities and encourage waterfront revitalization
- 8. Provide a variety of land- and water-based transportation options
- 9. Make development decisions predictable, fair, and cost effective through consistent policies and coordinated permitting processes
- 10. Encourage community and stakeholder collaboration in development decisions, ensuring that public interests in and rights of access to the waterfront and coastal waters are upheld





## Summary

#### Challenges

- Maintaining coastal infrastructure and draft
- Balancing waterfront land use
- Adapting to uncertain changing climate and water levels

#### **Planning Needs**

- Plan for high highs and low lows
- Flexible systems
- Identify alternative sources for funding harbor maintenance
- Evaluate existing planning for + measures to protect working waterfronts

#### **Examples of Resources**

- Smart Growth Workshop
- National Working Waterfront Network website
- Climate IA data
- NOAA data: Digital Coastal Economic data (ENOW), water level and climate forecasting data

## Questions?

